IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

W.L., IV, AS NEXT FRIEND OF W.L.,V, A MINOR;	& &	SA 10 CV 00607 ED
Plaintiff,	8 8 8	SA-19-CV-00607-FB
VS.	8 8 8	
SCOTT ASH JAMES ZIRUS, CAMP STEWART FOR BOYS INC.,	8 8 8	
AMERICAN INSTITUTE FOR FOREIGN STUDY INC.,	8 8 8	
Defendants.	8 8	

ORDER SETTING INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Before the Court is the above-styled and numbered cause of action, which was referred to the undersigned on August 12, 2019 for all pretrial proceedings [#14].

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this case is **set** for an **Initial Pretrial Conference** at **1:00 p.m.** on **August 28, 2019**, in Courtroom B on the 4th Floor of the John H. Wood, Jr. United States Courthouse, 655 E. Cesar Chavez Boulevard, San Antonio, Texas, 78206.

At the conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order in this case. Counsel may appear by phone for the conference. Counsel should contact the Courtroom Deputy for call-in information at chestney-chambers@txwd.uscourts.gov. The use of speaker phones is prohibited during a telephonic appearance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties confer in the manner required by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and submit a Joint Discovery/Case Management Plan that answers the following questions no later than August 26, 2019:

- 1. Are there any outstanding jurisdictional issues? For removed cases based on diversity jurisdiction, do the parties agree that the amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 at the time of removal? If not, each party should state its position on the amount in controversy.
- 2. Are there any unserved parties? If more than 90 days have passed since the filing of the Complaint or petition, should these unserved parties be dismissed?
- 3. What are the causes of action, defenses, and counterclaims in this case? What are the elements of the cause(s) of action, defenses, and counterclaims pled?
- 4. Are there any agreements or stipulations that can be made about any facts in this case or any element in the cause(s) of action?
- 5. State the parties' views and proposals on all items identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).
- 6. What, if any, discovery has been completed? What discovery remains to be done? Have the parties considered conducting discovery in phases?
- 7. What, if any, discovery disputes exist?
- 8. Have the parties discussed the desirability of filing a proposed order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502?
- 9. Have the parties discussed mediation?

The Court will address the substance of the parties' joint report and discovery plan at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties submit a proposed scheduling order pursuant to Local Rule CV-16(c), no later than August 26, 2019. The docket reflects that Plaintiff has submitted scheduling recommendations [#13], but Defendants have not. The parties are advised to confer on the proposed deadlines in Plaintiff's recommendations and attempt to come to an agreement. If they are unable to do so, Defendants should file their own recommendations. The Court will discuss with the parties any proposed changes to the Court's standard scheduling order based on the unique circumstances of this case at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

The proposed scheduling order shall contain suggestions for the following deadlines:

- 1. A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 (the standard period being <u>90 days</u> after the first defendant's appearance).
- 2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties (was referred to the undersigned on September 6, 2018 for all pretrial proceedings [#9]. the standard period being 90 days after the first defendant's appearance), and

each opposing party shall respond, in writing (the standard period being <u>104 days</u> after the first defendant's appearance).

- 3. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties by (the standard period being 120 days after the first defendant's appearance).
- 4. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall SERVE ON ALL PARTIES, BUT NOT FILE the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by (the standard period being 90 days before the discovery deadline). Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall SERVE ON ALL PARTIES, BUT NOT FILE the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by (the standard period being 45 days before the close of discovery). All designations of rebuttal experts shall be filed within 14 days of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
- 5. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, within (the standard period being 30 days) days of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or within (the standard period being 30 days) days of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 6. The parties shall complete discovery (the standard period being <u>six months</u> after the first defendant's appearance). Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.
- 7. All dispositive motions shall be filed (the standard period being <u>30 days</u> after the discovery deadline). Dispositive motions as defined in Local Rule CV-7(c) and responses to dispositive motions shall be limited to (the standard page limit for this Court is 20) pages in length.
- 8. This case will not be set for trial until after dispositive motions, if any, have been ruled on. The Court will set a trial date and also set a deadline for the parties to file the matters required to be filed in advance of trial in accordance with Local Rule CV-16(e)-(g). However, the parties should be aware that if they choose to stay on this district court's docket (and do not consent to proceed to trial before a United States Magistrate Judge), from time to time the Court has three or four day blocks of time available and will not hesitate to accelerate the Scheduling Order deadlines and direct the parties to appear for trial with as little as forty-eight hours' notice.
 - 9. All civil settings are subject to the Court's criminal docket.

The parties shall submit the proposed order in a form similar to the attached.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 13th day of August, 2019.

ELIZABETH 8. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

 Plaintiff,
 \$

 Vs.
 \$

 S
 \$

 Defendant.
 \$

SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATIONS

The parties recommend that the following deadlines be entered in the scheduling order to control the course of this case:

control the course of this case.
1. A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed by
2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties by, and each opposing party shall respond, in writing, by
3. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties by
4. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall serve on all parties, but not file the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by Parties resisting claims for relief shall filed their designation of testifying experts and shall serve on all parties, but not file the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by All designations of rebuttal experts shall be designated within 14 days of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
5. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, within days of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or within days of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
6. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.

motions as defined in Local Rule CV-7(c) and responses to pages in length.	han Dispositive s to dispositive motions shall be limited
8. The trial date will be determined at a later date Local Rule CV-16(e)-(g) regarding matters to be filed i date is set, the Court will also set the deadline for the filir	n advance of trial. At the time the trial
9. All of the parties who have appeared in the action the proposed scheduling order on and the parties have (a following positions and reasons are given by the parties for the proposed scheduling by Plain why all parties have not been served	greed/disagreed) as to its contents. The or the disagreement as to the contents of
	(Signature)
	(Print or type name)
	ATTORNEY FOR
	(Print or type name)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE